State of California



CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Agenda CTC Workshop

1:00 PM to 5:00 PM June 16, 2005

Objective: To obtain regional agency input on the proposed allocation strategy for 2005/06 and proposed performance measures for inclusion in the 2006 STIP Guidelines

Welcome

Joe Tavaglione

Session I

Allocation Criteria for the 2005/06 Allocation Plans

Allocation Capacity for the 2005/06

Will Kempton

Proposed STIP and SHOPP Allocation Criteria

David Brewer

TCRP Allocation Criteria

Ross Chittenden

Session II

Draft 2006 STIP Guidelines: Performance Measures

Brian Smith Debbie Mah

California Transportation Commission DRAFT STIP/SHOPP ALLOCATION PLAN PRIORITY CRITERIA June 2005

Guiding Principles:

- Provide economic stimulus.
- Provide for maximum use of TIF funds and federal funds.
- Maintain existing STIP/SHOPP programming and allocation process.
- Act in accordance with statutory priorities (Streets and Highways Code Section 167).
- Work with Caltrans and regional agencies to identify project priorities.
- Maintain equity in process.

General Allocation Plan Priorities:

- Limit allocations to STIP and SHOPP projects programmed for allocation in 2005-06 and to projects with extensions to 2005-06.
- All projects programmed for 2005-06 in the following categories will receive allocations as they are delivered:
 - o SHOPP projects, as identified by the Department.
 - Projects eligible for funding from federal Transportation Enhancement (TE) funds.
 - o Projects eligible for funding from the Public Transportation Account (PTA).
 - o Annual STIP allocations for planning, programming, and monitoring.
 - o Required STIP mitigation projects for construction projects already allocated.
 - o Projects to match federal bridge (HBRR) funds.
- Projects programmed for 2005-06 in the following categories will receive allocations as delivered (first-come, first served) until <u>September 2005</u> or until the Commission has allocated <u>\$500 million</u> for these projects, whichever is earlier [or substitute other appropriate date and dollar amount]. At that time, the allocation plan will be reviewed, and these projects may be given priority for allocation in the following category order:
 - o Interregional road system projects.
 - o Highway/railroad grade separation projects.
 - Projects to increase the capacity of other state highways and local roads by adding new lanes.
 - Operational improvements, including improvements to interchanges, intersections, signals, turn lanes, etc.
- The Commission will give lower priority to STIP projects in the following categories, funding them only when funding comes from TE or PTA or when funding is sufficient to fund all projects in higher priority categories:
 - Local road rehabilitation and reconstruction.
 - Bicycle and pedestrian facilities.
 - o Landscaping.
 - o Enhancements, including soundwalls and signage.

- Transportation demand management, including ridesharing and freeway service patrols.
- Reserves not designated for specific projects (RSTP/CMAQ match, AB 3090 replacement).
- Allocations will be made for any project component programmed in 2005-06 (environmental, design, right-of-way, or construction) according to the criteria above.
- Within each category above, the Commission will consider the following for individual projects on a case-by-case basis, as necessary:
 - o Regional and Caltrans priority.
 - Season-sensitivity of project (if not voted now, project misses the construction season).
 - o Project delivery status and order of delivery.
 - o Match of available TCRP funds.
 - o Status of county shares.
- The Commission will regard project components brought for a vote as meeting STIP timely use of funds deadlines, even if an allocation vote is not possible for lack of funds. The Commission will consider time extensions on a case-by-case basis only.

	Total	Caltrans Support	Allocation
Public Transportation (PTA) Transportation Enhancement (TE) Planning, programming, & monitoring Local roads, bridge rehab	70,541 90,405 11,249 7,934	0 1,201 0 0	70,541 89,204 11,249 7,934
Interregional roads Grade separations State highways, widening (RIP) State highways, operational (RIP) Local roads, capacity Local roads, operational	649,698 32,957 148,782 56,094 123,908 13,410	51,356 3,900 8,448 6,616 0	598,342 29,057 140,334 49,478 123,908 13,410
State highways, landscaping Local roads, rehabilitation Local roads, enhancements (non-TE) TDM/ridesharing Reserves, undesignated	6,985 70,372 6,077 9,595 3,415	1,131 0 0 0	5,854 70,372 6,077 9,595 3,415
Total	1,301,422	72,652	1,228,770

Public Transports Alameda Fresno Humboldt Humboldt Inyo Kings Los Angeles Madera Mono Monterey Orange Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	Union City Caltrans Eureka Humboldt TA Inyo County KCAPTA LACMTA Caltrans Mono Co MST OCTA Yorba Linda Caltrans	ble bus rail bus bus bus rail bus bus bus cal rail bus	2110 2041 2101 2002T 5055 8526 3225 2025	Project Union City Intermodal Station Fresno Pocket Track, Fig Garden siding (02S-79) Replace 3 vehicles Vehicle replacement	720 11,289 692	04-05 0 0	720 11,289	R/W 0 0	720 11,289	E&P 0 0	PS&E 0 0	Con Sup
Alameda Fresno Humboldt Humboldt Inyo Kings Los Angeles Madera Mono Monterey Orange Orange Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	Union City Caltrans Eureka Humboldt TA Inyo County KCAPTA LACMTA Caltrans Mono Co MST OCTA Yorba Linda Caltrans	bus rail bus bus bus rail rail	2041 2101 2002T 5055 8526 3225 2025	Fresno Pocket Track, Fig Garden siding (02S-79) Replace 3 vehicles Vehicle replacement	11,289	0			<u> </u>			
Alameda Fresno Humboldt Humboldt Inyo Kings Los Angeles Madera Mono Monterey Orange Orange Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	Union City Caltrans Eureka Humboldt TA Inyo County KCAPTA LACMTA Caltrans Mono Co MST OCTA Yorba Linda Caltrans	bus rail bus bus bus rail rail	2041 2101 2002T 5055 8526 3225 2025	Fresno Pocket Track, Fig Garden siding (02S-79) Replace 3 vehicles Vehicle replacement	11,289	0			<u> </u>			
Fresno Humboldt Humboldt Inyo Kings Los Angeles Madera Mono Monterey Orange Orange Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	Caltrans Eureka Humboldt TA Inyo County KCAPTA LACMTA Caltrans Mono Co MST OCTA Yorba Linda Caltrans	rail bus bus bus rail rail bus	2041 2101 2002T 5055 8526 3225 2025	Fresno Pocket Track, Fig Garden siding (02S-79) Replace 3 vehicles Vehicle replacement	11,289	0			<u> </u>			
Humboldt Humboldt Inyo Kings Los Angeles Madera Mono Monterey Orange Orange Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	Eureka Humboldt TA Inyo County KCAPTA LACMTA Caltrans Mono Co MST OCTA Yorba Linda Caltrans	bus bus bus rail rail bus	2101 2002T 5055 8526 3225 2025	Replace 3 vehicles Vehicle replacement			11.289	Λ	11 200	0	0	0
Humboldt Inyo Kings Los Angeles Madera Mono Monterey Orange Orange Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	Humboldt TA Inyo County KCAPTA LACMTA Caltrans Mono Co MST OCTA Yorba Linda Caltrans	bus bus bus rail rail bus	2002T 5055 8526 3225 2025	Vehicle replacement	692			U	11,209			
Inyo Kings Los Angeles Madera Mono Monterey Orange Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	Inyo County KCAPTA LACMTA Caltrans Mono Co MST OCTA Yorba Linda Caltrans	bus bus rail rail bus	5055 8526 3225 2025	\$		0	692	0	692	0	0	0
Kings Los Angeles Madera Mono Monterey Orange Orange Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	KCAPTA LACMTA Caltrans Mono Co MST OCTA Yorba Linda Caltrans	bus bus rail rail bus	5055 8526 3225 2025	\$	261	0	261	0	261	0	0	0
Kings Los Angeles Madera Mono Monterey Orange Orange Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	KCAPTA LACMTA Caltrans Mono Co MST OCTA Yorba Linda Caltrans	bus rail rail bus	8526 3225 2025	8 transit buses (04S-26)	125	0	125	0	125	0	0	0
Los Angeles Madera Mono Monterey Orange Orange Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	LACMTA Caltrans Mono Co MST OCTA Yorba Linda Caltrans	rail rail bus	3225 2025	Intermodal transfer site improvements (SO)(04S-26)	400	0	400	0	340	0	60	0
Madera Mono Monterey Orange Orange Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	Caltrans Mono Co MST OCTA Yorba Linda Caltrans	rail bus	2025	Light rail vehicles (\$6m State only)(02S-67)	29,159	0	29,159	0	29,159	0	0	0
Mono Monterey Orange Orange Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	Mono Co MST OCTA Yorba Linda Caltrans	bus		Madera, new station	130	0	130	35	0	0	95	0
Monterey Orange Orange Orange Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	MST OCTA Yorba Linda Caltrans		2015	Bus for Inyo-Mono Transit (04S-26)	62	0	62	0	62	0	0	0
Orange Orange Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	OCTA Yorba Linda Caltrans	Dus	·	Marina transit station	2,500	0	2,500	0	2,500	0	0	0
Orange Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	Yorba Linda Caltrans	L							<u></u>			
Orange Orange Riverside Sacramento	Caltrans	bus		West Orange County bus rapid transit guideway	3,573	0	3,573	0	0	3,573	0	0
Orange Riverside Sacramento		gwy		Yorba Linda commuter rail station	750	0	750	0	0	0	750	0
Riverside Sacramento		rail		Fullerton parking expansion, phase 1	250	0	250	0	0	0	250	0
Sacramento	SCRRA	rail		Orange Metrolink Station pedestrian overcrossing	3,000	0	3,000	0	3,000	0	0	0
	Riverside CTC	gwy	79D	Corona Metrolink station parking structure	1,000	0	1,000	0	0	0	1,000	0
San Diego	Sac RT	rail	3L50	W Sac/Davis LRT extension	250	0	250	0	0	250	0	0
can bicgo	SD MTDB	rail	7307	Mid-Coast Balboa extension	4,000	0	4,000	0	0	0	4,000	0
San Joaquin	Tracy	bus	2K47	Tracy downtown multimodal station (04S-26)	3,705	0	3,705	0	3,705	0	0	0
	Carpinteria	bus	·	Bailard Av park and ride	104	0	104	0	0	62	42	0
Solano	Vallejo	ferry	†	1	1,200	0	1,200	0	0	0	1,200	0
Solano	Vallejo	ferry	\$	Baylink ferry maintenance facility	425	0	425	0	425	0	0	0
	Fairfield		-	Capitol Corridor rail station, Fairfield (02S-80)	125	0	125	0	0	0	125	0
Solano	CCJPA	rail		Bahia viaduct track & bridge upgrade (04S-26)	190	0	190	0	0	40	150	0
	Benicia		····	ļ	225	0	225	0	0	225	150	0
				Intermodal transit station, Benicia		0		0			0	0
Tehama	Tehama County		·	Purchase 2 transit vehicles (04S-26) Tulare intermodal transit center	121		121		121	0		
Tulare	Tulare	bus			1,904	0	1,904	0	1,904		0	0
Ventura	SCRRA	rail		Metrolink infrastructure replace, upgrade (04S-26)	3,300	0	3,300	0	3,300	0	0	0
Ventura	Caltrans	rail	9877	Oxnard station parking improvements	1,081	0	1,081	0	1,081	0	0	0
					70,541		70,541	35	58,684	4,150	7,672	
					į							
Interregional Roa	d Projects (exc	ept L	andsca	oing)								
Butte	Caltrans	149	16W	Rt 70-Rt 99, 4-lane expressway	70,649	0	70,649	0	70,649	0	0	0
Contra Costa	Caltrans	80	261F	WB HOV, Rt 4-Carquinez Br, phase 1	29,689	0	29,689	0	24,189	0	0	5,500
El Dorado	Caltrans	50		Placerville op improvs (grf, incr)	22,895	0	22,895	0	22,895	0	0	0
Fresno	Caltrans	99		Kingsburg-Selma 6-lane (TCR #90)	47,116	0	47,116	0	42,616	0	0	4,500
Kern	Caltrans	14		North of Mojave, expressway upgrade	47,817	0	47,817	0	44,131	0	0	3,686
Kern	Caltrans	58		Mojave Bypass, rehab to relinquish (/#258)(04S-26)	2,884	0	2,884	0	2,884	0	0	3,000
		134	·		28,113	0		0	26,793	0	0	1,320
Los Angeles	Caltrans			Hollywood Way interchange, Burbank			28,113					
Madera	Caltrans	99		Fwy conversion, Fairmead	37,454	0	37,454	0	34,114	0	0	3,340
Merced	Caltrans	99	ļ	Fwy conversion, Mission Av interchange	50,187	0	50,187	0	47,816	0	0	2,371
	Caltrans	99	·	Fwy conversion, Arena Way-Dwight Way	27,480	0	27,480	0	······································	0	0	2,400
Orange	Caltrans	5	·	HOV lanes, Rt 91-LA Co Line (grf, incr)	61,330	0	61,330	0	61,085	0	0	245
San Bernardino	Caltrans	210	192K	Park & ride, required mitigation	100	0	100	0	0	0	0	100
San Diego	Caltrans	905	374K	4-In fwy, Siempre Viva-Britannia (04S-59)	58,450	0	58,450	0	49,201	0	0	9,249
San Luis/Kern	Caltrans	41	452	WB climbing lane near Co Line	4,787	0	4,787	0	4,287	0	0	500
San Mateo	Caltrans	101	700B	Aux lanes, 3rd Av-Millbrae Av	43,963	0	43,963	0	36,963	0	0	7,000
Santa Barbara	Caltrans	101		Santa Maria 6-lane (04S-19)	23,899	0	23,899	0	22,077	0	0	1,822
Santa Clara	Caltrans	152		Rt 152/156 interchange improvements (04S-43)	11,395	0	11,395	0	9,122	0	0	2,273
	SCVTA	loc		Rt 152/156 interchange improvements (04S-43)	55	0	55	0	0,122	0	55	2,2,0
	Caltrans	152		Truck climbing lanes (grf)	1,000	0	1,000	0	1,000	0	0	0
Sonoma	Caltrans	101		Petaluma operational improvements (04S-26)	4,000	0	4,000	0	3,200	0	0	800
											0	
Sonoma	Caltrans	101		HOV lanes, Rt 12-Steele Lane	62,512	0	62,512	0	57,812	0		4,700
Sutter	Caltrans			4 lanes, Rt 70-Garden Hwy	9,700	0	9,700	0	8,500	0	0	1,200
Trinity	Caltrans	299	320	Rocky Pt passing lanes	4,223	0	4,223	0	3,873	0	0	350
			ļ									
					649,698		649,698	0	598,287	0	55	51,356
State Highway W												
Los Angeles	Caltrans	60		Rt 605-Azusa Av, HOV lanes (04S-24)	34,005	0	34,005		29,905	0	0	4,100
Los Angeles	Caltrans	138	3330	Widen at Twin Bridges (02S-93), grf	11,637	0	11,637	0	11,637	0	0	0
	Caltrans	101		Reversible HOV, segment 4 (96 grf)(04S-60)	19,722	0	19,722	0	19,722	0	0	0
San Joaquin	Caltrans	5		Widening, Mossdale area (TCR #108)	4,028	0	4,028	0	3,378	0	0	650
Santa Cruz	Caltrans	1		Rt 17/1 connector, Stage 1B (96 grf)	41,512	0	41,512		41,512	0	0	0
Ventura	Caltrans			Widening, Rt 118 to Rt 101 (\$10,500 demo)	37,878	0	37,878	0	34,180	0	0	3,698
u		20			57,070	J	57,070		5-1,100	- 3		0,000
					148,782		148,782		140,334			8,448
					1-10,702		170,702		170,004			0,440
Grada Camarati	o (DID)											
Grade Separation					00 :=-				05			
Orange	Caltrans	90	<u> </u>	Imperial Hwy grade sep, near Orangethorpe (04S-10)	29,457	0	29,457	0	25,557	0	0	3,900
	Placentia	gsep		Placentia Av grade sep (SO)(TCR #73)(04S-26)	3,300	0	3,300	0	3,300	0	0	0
San Joaquin	Lathrop	gsep	3K41	Lathrop Rd grade separation/UPRR (04S-26)	200	0	200	0	0	200	0	0
									<u> </u>			
					32,957		32,957		28,857	200	0	3,900

	1			I I	-	-		Dr	oioct Tot	ale by C	omponer	nt
County	Agency	Rte	PPNO	Project	Total	04-05	05-06		Const			
State Highways,												
Alp-Ama-Cal	Caltrans	88		Amador, Pine Grove passing lane(04S-26)	5,636	0	5,636	0		0	0	575
Butte	Caltrans	99		Route 99/162 East (Richvale Rd) signal (04S-17)	263	0	263	0		0	0	
Colusa	Caltrans	20	·	Passing lanes, Steer Ditch Br-Sycamore	3,817	0	3,817	0		0	0	413
Contra Costa	Caltrans	680	ļ	Aux lane, Danville seg 1, San Ramon seg 3 (02S-6	9,172	0	9,172	0		0	0	1,550
Glenn	Caltrans	32		Realign roadway in Orland	2,069	0	2,069	0		0	0	244
Lassen	Caltrans Caltrans	395		Sears and Church turning lanes	270	0	270	0		0	0	500
Madera		99 5		South Madera Gateway Dr interchange (04S-50)	4,152 2,135	0	4,152 2,135	0		0	0	320
Orange Placer TPA	Caltrans	49		Avenida Pico SB off-ramp, aux lane		0	6,155	0		0	0	1,240
	Caltrans	49		Improvs, Rt 80-Dry Creek Rd (loc \$1910)	6,155 2,700	0	2,700	0		0	0	
Sacramento	Caltrans	80		TOS elements, Rt 80-Pocket Rd (04S-26) TOS elements, Rt 50 (Yolo)-Auburn Blvd (04S-26)	1,625	0	1,625	0		0	0	C
Sacramento San Diego	Caltrans Caltrans	805		Rt 5, 805, 52, 94 TMS: changeable message signs	1,025	0	1,118	0		0	0	100
San Luis Obispo	Caltrans	41	·	Rt 41 W passing lanes, MB-Atascadero (04S-26)	1,508	0	1,508	0	1,018	0	0	268
San Luis Obispo	Caltrans	41		Route 41/101 Interchange, Atascadero	12,385	0	12,385	0		0	0	1,021
Shasta	Caltrans	273		Ox Yoke Rd, intersec improvs (State only)(04S-26)	12,365	0	175	0	175	0	0	1,02
Shasta	Caltrans	273		Downtown Redding Rt 44 & 273 improvs (02S-86)	2,481	0	2,481	0		0	0	385
Tulare	Caltrans	65	····	Rt 65/198 intersection, signals	162	0	162	0		0	0	300
Tulare	Caltrans	198	·	Rt 198/245 intersection, signals	271	0	271	0		0	0	
Tulare	Callians	190	D006	Rt 196/243 Intersection, Signals	2/1	U	211	U	211	U	U	
	 				56,094		56,094		49,478			6,616
	1				50,084		50,084		40,410			0,010
State Highways,	Landscaning /P	ID)							ļ			
Tulare	Caltrans		64057	Prosperity Av interchange, replacement planting	190	0	190	0	155	0	0	35
Fresno	Caltrans	180		West Phase planting/89A	1,879	0	1,879	0		0	0	500
Fresno	Caltrans	180		Rt 168-Fowler Av, planting/#90E	3,386	0	3,386	0		0	0	596
Imperial	Caltrans	111		Keystone Rd-Route 78, landscaping/#44L	1,530	0	1,530	0		0	0	390
Шренаі	Callians		441	Reystorie Ru-Route 76, lanuscaping/#44L	1,550		1,000	U	1,550	U		
	-				6,985		6,985		5,854			1,131
	 	 -		ļ	0,900		0,965		3,634			1,13
Local Bridge Rel	habilitation (UDE	DD Ma	tob)									
		Ś.		Davis Pd. Salinas Bivor bridge (UPPP)(04S 40)	85	0	85	0	0	0	95	
Monterey	Monterey Co	loc		Davis Rd, Salinas River bridge (HBRR)(04S-49)							85	C
Santa Barbara	Goleta	loc		Rt 101 Ellwood overhead, replace (04S-09)(HBRR)	111	0	111	111	0	0	0	
Shasta	Redding	loc		Cypress Av Bridge, HBRR match (04S-31)	4,281	0	4,281	313	3,968	0	0	
Tehama	Tehama	loc	[Tehama Av Br, Tehama SI, HBRR (02S-08A)	179	0	179	0	179	0	0	0
Tehama	Tehama County	loc		Bowman, Cottonwood Cr, HBRR (02S-66)	77	0	77	0	0	20	57	
Tehama	Tehama County	loc	ļ	RB-Rawson, Red Bank Cr, HBRR (02S-66)	858	0	858	0	858	0	0	C
Tehama	Tehama County	loc	·	Lake Cal Dr, bridge 8C-167, HBRR match	150	0	150	0		0	15	C
Tehama	Tehama County	loc		McCoy Rd, bridge 8C-240, HBRR match (02S-66)	30	0	30	0		5	25	C
Tehama	Tehama County	loc		McCoy Rd, bridge 8C-250, HBRR match (02S-66)	30	0	30	0	0	5	25	C
Trinity	Trinity County	loc		HBRR match, Browns Crk, 5C-130 (04S-32)	175	0	175	0	175	0	0	C
Siskiyou	Siskiyou Co	loc		Ash Creek Bridge, HBRR match (04S-05)	60	0	60	0	0	0	60	C
Shasta	Shasta County	loc		Replace 6 bridges, HBRR match (ext 5-05)	214	214	0	0		0	0	C
Shasta	Shasta County	loc	ļ	Replace 6 bridges, HBRR match (02S-86)	340	0	340	50	290	0	0	C
Tehama	Tehama County	loc	ļ	McCoy Rd low-water crossing, HBRR match(02S-08B)	27	0	27	0		0	27	C
Modoc	Modoc Co	loc		CR 85, Pit River, replace bridge (HBRR)	240	0	240	0	240	0	0	C
Sierra	Sierra County	loc		Goodyear's Creek Bridge (HBRR)(04S-40)	195	0	195	0		0	0	C
Sierra	Sierra County	loc	[Pearl St Bridge (HBRR match)	173	0	173	0	173	0	0	C
Lake	Lake County	loc	3031R	Merritt Rd Bridge at Kelsey Creek (02S-07)	709	0	709	19	690	0	0	C
		ļ	ļ									
	L	ļ			7,934	214	7,720					
Local Capacity P	rojects (RIP)	ļ										
	<u> </u>	ļ	ļl			ļļ.						
Tulare	Tulare County	loc		Rd 108, Leland-Caldwell, 4 lanes (02S-35)	3,988	0	3,988	2,384	0	0	1,604	
Tulare	Visalia	loc		Caldwell Av, Rt 99-Rt 63, 4 lanes	400	0	400	0	0	400	0	C
Tulare	Visalia	loc		Plaza Dr, Rt 198-Goshen, 4 lanes (02S-35)	615	0	615	0	0	0	615	C
Tulare	Tulare County	loc		Av 416, Fresno Co-Rd 88, 4 lanes (02S-35)	135	0	135	0	0	135	0	C
San Luis Obispo	Paso Robles	loc		13th St Bridge, widen (State only)	750	0	750	0		0	0	C
Monterey	Monterey	loc		Rt 68 widening near CHOMP	600	0	600	0		0	600	C
San Luis Obispo	San Luis Obispo		***************************************	Orcutt Rd, Duncan-Laurel, widen (04S-26)	590	0	590	0		0	0	C
San Luis Obispo	San Luis Obispo	loc		Santa Barbara St, High-Roundhouse, widen (S/O)(04S-26)	474	0	474	259	180	0	35	C
Monterey	Salinas	loc		Sanborn Rd, Abbott-John, widen (04S-26)	1,000	0	1,000	0	1,000	0	0	(
Santa Barbara	S B County	loc		Hummel Dr extension, Orcutt	141	0	141	141	0	0	0	(
Santa Barbara	Santa Maria	loc		Blosser Rd, Donovan-Cox, widen	1,016	0	1,016	0	1,016	0	0	C
Santa Barbara	Santa Maria	loc	1223	Blosser Rd, Cox-Taylor, widen (AB 3090 repl)	625	0	625	0	0	625	0	C
Tehama	Tehama County	loc		Flores Av Access Rd (04S-26)	123	0	123	0	0	123	0	(
Humboldt	Arcata	loc		Foster Av extension to Sunset Av (State only)	231	0	231	0	0	231	0	(
	Lassen County	loc		Skyline Rd corridor improvements (04S-26)	7,271	0	7,271	400	6,871	0	0	(
Lassen	Trinity County	loc		E W'ville, Rt 299-Rt 3, new 2-In rd (02S-55)	360	0	360	0		0	360	(
						0	200	0				
Trinity	·	loc	2139	Weaverville, west connector, add funding (04S-26)	2003	U		(1)	(1)	2003	0	
Trinity Trinity	Trinity County	loc loc		Weaverville, west connector, add funding (04S-26) Fairfax Rd interchange, widen	200 15.000				0 15.000	200 0		
Lassen Trinity Trinity Kern Tulare	Trinity County Bakersfield	loc	3731	Fairfax Rd interchange, widen	15,000	0	15,000	0	15,000	0	0	(
Trinity Trinity	Trinity County		3731 8682			0		0	15,000 0		0	C

				I I	1		- 1	Dr	oject Tot	ale by C	omnono	nt
County	Agency	Rte	PPNO	Project	Total	04-05	05-06	R/W	Const	E & P		Con Sup
Kern	Kern County	loc		7th Standard Rd, Rt 99-Wings Way, 4-lane (04S-26)	2,500	0	2,500	0	2,500	0	0	0
Kern	Bakersfield	loc	•	Westside Parkway, phase 1 (04S-26)	30,700	0	30,700	6,700	20,000	0	,	0
Stanislaus	StanCOG	loc		Rt 132, Riverside Dr-Empire, widening (02S-120)	9,143	0	9,143	0	9,143	0	0	0
Stanislaus	StanCOG	loc		Rt 132, Riverside Dr-Empire (oversight)	173	0	173	0	173	0		0
Placer TPA	Placer County	loc		New loc rds adj to Rt 49 (State only)(02S-66)	2,199	0	2,199	0	2,199	0	0	0
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc	***************************************	Old Arcata Rd/Myrtle Av, widen (04S-26)	800	0	800	800	0	0	0	0
San Joaquin	Stockton	loc		Hammer Lane, Kelly-Thornton, widen	2,000	0	2,000	0	2,000	0		0
San Joaquin	Ripon	loc		New So Frontage Rd, modify Wilma OC	1,925	0	1,925	0	1,925	0	0	0
Sacramento	Citrus Heights	loc	ļ)	Greenback Ln, Auburn-Dewey, widen	5,000 498	0	5,000 498	0	5,000 0	498	0	
Nevada Yolo	Truckee Yolo County	loc		Rt 89, "Mousehole" grade separation, widen Rd 98, Rd 29-Woodland, widen	168	0	168	0	0	168	0	0
Butte	BCAG	loc	***************************************	Upper Skyway, Inskip-Butte Meadows, widen	1,339	0	1,339	0	0	0	1,339	0
Mendocino	Mendocino Co	 		Redemeyer Rd, extend to Lk Mendocino Dr (S/O)	150	0	1,339	0	0	150	1,339	0
Tulare	Tulare County	loc		Rd 80 expwy, Goshen-El Monte Way (02S-35)	16,724	0	16.724	12,417	0	0	4,307	0
Riverside	RCTC	loc	·	Rt 91, Adams St-Rt 60/215, HOV lanes, design(04S	13,070	0	13,070	12,417	0	0		0
Kern	Kern County	loc		7th Standard Rd, Coffee Rd-Rt 99	2,500	0	2,500	2,500	0	0	0	0
ICIII	rem county	100	2010	Tri Giandard Nd, Conec Nd-Nt 55	2,000	Ŭ	2,500	2,500	Ü	U	Ŭ	
					123,908		123,908	25 601	68,347	3 030	26,930	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					120,000		120,000	20,001	00,011	0,000	20,000	
Local Road Oper	rational Improve	ment	s (RIP)									
Tulare	Farmersville	loc	,	Visalia Rd, Stevens-Brundage, ops (04S-26)	354	0	354	240	0	0	114	0
Santa Cruz	Santa Cruz Co	loc		Graham Hill Rd improvs	205	0	205	80	0	0	125	0
San Luis Obispo	Morro Bay	loc		Roundabout at Rt 1/Quintana/MB Blvd	473	0	473	0	473	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	SLO County	loc		Halcyon Rd/Hwy 1 improvements	2,000	0	2,000	0	2,000	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	SLO County	loc		Tank Farm Rd improvements	999	0	999	35	824	30	110	0
San Luis Obispo	SLO County	loc		Los Berros Rd, Stanton-Pomeroy, turn lanes (S/O)	650	0	650	0	650	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	S B County	loc		Ortega Hill Rd, Ortega Ridge-Greenwell, improvs	407	0	407	0	0	129	278	0
San Luis Obispo	Paso Robles	loc		Rt 46 east of 101 operational improvements	400	0	400	0	0	130	270	0
Trinity	Trinity County	loc		Hayfork, realign Hyampom Rd (04S-32)	437	0	437	0	0	0		0
Orange	OCTA	loc		Rt 5 Culver Drive SB off-ramp widening (04S-26)	126	0	126	0	0	0	126	0
Tahoe RPA	Placer County	loc	•	Rt 28 Kings Beach commercial core improvements	1,187	0	1,187	0	0	0	1,187	0
Tulare	Tule River TC	loc		Reservation Rd, Rt 190-Tule Riv Ind Res, improvs (04S-26)	419	0	419	0	334	50	35	0
San Bernardino	Victorville		•	Rt 15 La Mesa/Nisqualli interchange (02S-66)	2,190	0	2,190	0	0	0	·	0
Placer TPA	Rocklin	loc		Rt 80 Sierra College interchange (CT oversight)*(04	68	0	68	0	0	44	24	0
Placer TPA	Rocklin	loc		Rt 80 Sierra College interchange* (04S-26)	245	0	245	0	0	0	245	0
Butte	Paradise	loc		Clark/Skyway signal (04S-26)	607	0	607	0	607	0	0	0
Orange	OCTA	loc	***************************************	Rt 5 Oso Parkway SB off-ramp, storage lane (04S-26)	1,814	0	1,814	0	0	0	1,814	0
Yolo	Winters	loc		Grant/Railroad signalization (04S-26)	100	0	100	0	100	0	0	0
Yuba	Wheatland	loc		Rt 65, signal and left turn pocket	398	0	398	5	288	5	100	0
Mendocino	Ukiah	loc	4092P	Gobbi St/Orchard Ave intersection improvs (S/O)	131	0	131	0	131	0	0	0
Mendocino	Mendocino Co	loc	4101P	N State St, MP 0.5-2.3, improvements (02S-65)	200	0	200	0	0	0	200	0
					13,410		13,410					
Local Road Reha	bilitation											
Inyo	Bishop	loc	5	Home St, Rt 168-Sierra, reconstruction (04S-57)	1,082	0	1,082	0	1,082	0	0	0
Monterey	Carmel	loc	1005	Junipero, Ocean-8th, repave, streetscape (02S-78)	171	0	171	0	171	0	0	0
Monterey	Gonzales	loc		N Alta St, Gonzales River-River Rd, rehab (S/O)	17	0	17	0	0	0	17	0
Inyo	Inyo County	loc		Ed Powers Road, overlay (04S-57)	62	0	62	0	0	5	57	0
Inyo	Inyo County	loc	ļ	Pleasant Valley Dam Road, overlay	468	0	468	0	427	5	36	0
Inyo	Inyo County	loc		Gill Station-Coso Rd, rehab (04S-57)	126	0	126	0	0	0		0
Monterey	Del Rey Oaks	loc		Carlton Dr, Highland-end, resurface (State only)	9	0	9	0	0	0		0
Monterey	Del Rey Oaks	loc		Work Av, Rt 218 east 800 ft, resurface (State only)	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	0
Monterey	Marina	loc		6 locations (mapped), rehab (State only)	20	0	20	0	0	0		0
Monterey	Monterey Co	loc		Abbott St, Salinas-Rt 101, rehab (State only)(04S-49)	170	0	170	0	0	0	170	0
Monterey	Monterey Co	loc	***************************************	Hall Rd, Elkhorn-San Miguel Cyn, rehab (SO)(04S-49)	3,640	0	3,640	0	3,440	0	200	0
Monterey	Salinas	loc		E Market, Garner, Calle Cebu, Sunrise, rehab	993	0	993	0	993	0	·	0
San Benito	Hollister	loc		Local street rehabilitation, 83 segments identified	4,500	0	4,500	0	4,500	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	Buellton	loc	ļ)	Pavement rehab, 7 locations (State only)	393	0	393	0	368	0	25	0
Santa Barbara	Carpinteria	loc		Local street rehab, 7 locations (State only)	538	0	538	0	538	0	·	0
Santa Barbara	S B County	loc		Local road rehab, 34 locations	5,853	0	5,853	0	5,619	15	219	0
Santa Barbara	Guadalupe	loc		Obispo St, 11th St, rehab (State only)	411	0	411	0	385	2	24	0
Santa Barbara	Lompoc	loc		Local street rehab, 8 locations, 3.7 mi (State only)	1,262	0	1,262	0	1,262	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	Santa Maria	loc	1	N Blosser, S Miller rehab (rescoped)(S/O)	944	0	944	0	811	0	133	0
Santa Barbara	Solvang	loc		Viborg, Alisal, 1st, 5th, rehab (State only)	406	0	406	0	406	0		0
Monterey	Carmel	loc		San Carlos St repaying (02S-78)	50	0	50	0	50	0	0	0
Mono	Mono County	loc		Lundy Lake Rd, rehab (04S-56)	16	0	16	1	0	0	15	0
Mono	Mono Co	loc		Owens Gorge Rd rehab (State only)(04S-56)	28	0	28	0	0	28	0	0
Mono	Mono Co	loc		Owens River Rd rehab (State only)(04S-56)	36	0	36	0	0	36	0	0
Mono	Mono Co	loc		Bridgeport local street rehab, 15 locs (S/O)(04S-56)	1 565	0	1 565	0	1 565	64	0	0
Plumas	Plumas County	loc		CR 109, rehab and safety	1,565	0	1,565	0	1,565	0	0	0
Santa Cruz	Santa Cruz Co	loc		Amesti Rd, PM 2.8-3.0, recon	1,480	0	1,480	0	1,480 70	0	0	0
Humboldt Humboldt	Arcata	loc		RR crossings, 16 locations, rehab (State only)	70		70	0	70	0		
Humboldt	Ferndale	loc		Ocean Ave, Eugene St, reconst (SO)(02S-66)	27	0	27					0
Humboldt	Rio Dell	loc	20/9	Wildwood Av, View St, rehab (State only)	651	0	651	0	573	0	78	0

								Pr	oject Tot	als by C	ompone	nt
County	Agency	Rte	PPNO	Project	Total	04-05	05-06	R/W				Con Sup
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc	2081	Union St/Sea Av, reconstruct/widen (SO)(AB 872)	159	0	159	0	0	159	0	0
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc	***************************************	McKinleyville Av, Wash'n Av, rehab (S/O)(AB 872)	55	0	55	0	0	0	55	0
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc		McKinleyville Av, Washington Av, rehab (S/O)	694	0	694	0	694	0	0	0
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc	2083	Maple Crk Rd, rehab (State only)	335	0	335	0	316	0	19	0
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc	***************************************	AlderpointRd, Mattole Rd, rehab (State only)	491	0	491	0	470	0	21	0
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc	***************************************	Walnut Dr (Cutten), rehab (State only)	310		310	0	285	0	25	0
Humboldt Humboldt	Humboldt Co Humboldt Co	loc		Murray Rd, Fieldbrook Rd, rehab (State only) Hiller Rd, Pickett Rd, rehab (State only)	53		53 671	0	0 616	0	53 55	0
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc		Humboldt Hill Rd, rehab (State only)	671 47	0	47	0	010	0	47	0
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc		Tompkins Hill Rd, Fields Landing Dr, rehab (S/O)(AB 872)	31	0	31	0	0	0	31	0
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc		Tompkins Hill Rd, Fields Landing Dr, rehab (S/O)	428	0	428	0	428	0	0	0
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc		Redwood Dr, Redway-Rt 101, rehab (State only)	47	0	47	0	0	0	47	0
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc		Indianola Cutoff, rehab (State only)	20	0	20	0	0	0	20	0
Humboldt Humboldt	Humboldt Co Humboldt Co	loc		Azalea Av, Rt 200-Sutter Rd, rehab (State only) Central Av (McKinleyville), rehab (State only)(AB 872)	572 51	0	572 51	0	506 0	0	66 51	0
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc		Central Av (McKinleyville), rehab (State only) Central Av (McKinleyville), rehab (State only)	568	0	568	0	568	0	0	0
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc		Fieldbrook Rd, Glendale-Squaw Crk, rehab (S/O)	52	0	52	0	0	0	52	0
Tehama	Tehama	loc		7 streets, rehab (summer 2003)	117	0	117	0	108	1	8	0
Tehama	Red Bluff	loc		Central Red Bluff, 22 streets, overlay	750	0	750	0	736	1	13	0
Tehama	Tehama County	loc		Chipseal 38.05 mi various rds	361	0	361	0	361	0	0	0
Tehama Siskiyou	Tehama County Yreka	loc		Rd 99W, at Red Bank Creek, over 0.5 mi Greenhorn Rd rehab	109 638	0	109 638	0	109 638	0	0	0
Trinity	Trinity County	loc		Hyampom Rd, PM 0.0-3.5, rehab (04S-32)	729	0	729	0	729	0	0	0
Trinity	Trinity County	loc		East Side Rd (CR 106), PM 0.0-2.8, rehab	615	0	615	0	615	0	0	0
Plumas	Plumas County	loc		Big Creek Rd rock slope protection (04S-20)	15	0	15	0	0	0	15	0
Plumas	Plumas County	loc	2233	Quincy Jct Rd, pavement rehab (04S-20)	280	0	280	0	280	0	0	0
Plumas	Plumas County	loc		Lake Davis Rd, rehab (04S-20)	415	0	415	0	415	0	0	0
Plumas	Plumas County	loc		Lee Rd, rehab (04S-20)	280	0	280	0	280	0	0	0
Modoc Tehama	Modoc Co Corning	loc		County Rd 1, 3.66 miles, rehab (State only) Solano St, Edith-6th, rehab (State only)	735 464	0	735 464	0	735 451	0	0 13	0
Tehama	Corning	loc	***************************************	South Street, 6th-Marguerite, rehab (State only)	306	0	306	0	301	0	5	0
Siskiyou	Dorris	loc	***************************************	Main St, Triangle St, State St, rehab (State only)	340	0	340	0	340	0	0	0
Siskiyou	Dunsmuir	loc	2285	Dunsmuir Av, associated rds, rehab (State only)	100	0	100	10	0	20	70	0
Siskiyou	Etna	loc		Woodland Street, rehab (State only)	155	0	155	0	142	0	13	0
Siskiyou	Fort Jones	loc	***************************************	Newton, Carlock, Don, Allison, rehab (State only)	362	0	362	0	327	0	35	0
Siskiyou Siskiyou	Montague Mt Shasta	loc	***************************************	Prather St, rehab (State only) Rockfellow Dr, N Mt Shasta Bl, Ida St, recon (S/O)	375 392	0	375 392	0	350 382	0	25 10	0
Siskiyou	Tulelake	loc		Modoc St, F St, rehab (State only)	350	0	350	0	325	0	25	0
Siskiyou	Weed	loc		Siskiyou Wy, Alamo Av, rehab (State only)	250	0	250	0	0_0	125	125	0
Siskiyou	Yreka	loc		Oberlin Rd, Oregon Rd-city limits, rehab (State only)	386	0	386	0	328	0	58	0
Siskiyou	Yreka	loc		Fairlane Rd, Oberlin-city limits, rehab (State only)	640	0	640	0	595	0	45	0
Siskiyou	Yreka	loc		15 collector & residential streets, overlays (State only)	50	0	50	0	0	0	50	0
Siskiyou	Yreka	loc		4th St, Rose Ln-Miner St, rehab (State only)	25 600	0	25 600	0	0 575	0	25 25	0
Siskiyou Siskiyou	Yreka Siskiyou Co	loc		15 collector/residential streets, cape seal (State only) North Old Stage Rd, overlay (State only)	125	0	125	0	575 0	75	50	0
Siskiyou	Siskiyou Co	loc		Old Hwy 99, Easy St, overlay (04S-05)	75	0	75	0	0	75	0	0
Plumas	Plumas County	loc		Greenville downtown streets, 10 locations, rehab	10	0	10	0	0	10	0	0
Tehama	Tehama County	loc	2358	Chip Seals, 18 locations (State only)	363	0	363	0	360	3	0	0
Plumas	Portola	loc		County Rd A15, reconstruction (04S-20)	145	0	145	0	0	53	92	0
Siskiyou	Etna	loc		Callahan St, Callahan Rd-city limits, rehab (State only)	181	0	181	0	181	0	0	0
Tehama Plumas	Tehama County Plumas County	loc		Jellys Ferry Bridge replacement (02S-08B) Big Creek Rd pavement rehab (04S-20)	61 175	0	61 175	0	0 175	61 0	0	0
Mono	Mammoth Lakes	loc		Canyon Bl, Tavern, Laurel Mt, Minaret, rehab	404	0	404	0	404	0	0	0
Mono	Mammoth Lakes	loc		North Main St, Forest Trail-Post Office, rehab (S/O)	58	0	58	3	0	7	48	0
Inyo	Inyo County	loc	3036	Shabbell Lane, overlay (04S-57)	35	0	35	0	0	5	30	0
Inyo	Inyo County	loc		Mustang Mesa subdivision resurfacing (04S-57)	45	0	45	0	0	5	40	0
Inyo	Inyo County	loc		Trona Wildrose Rd reconstruction, phase 2 (04S-57)	50	0	50	0	0	5	45	0
Kings	Lemoore	loc		8 locations, overlays & reconstruction (State only)	594	0	594 1,200	0	594 1,200	0	0	0
Kings Kings	Corcoran Avenal	loc		17 locations, overlay and restripe Kern St, 7th Av-Union Av, reconstruction (S/O)	1,200 139	0	139	0	1,200	0	17	0
Kings	Avenal	loc		Kern St, 7th Av-3rd Av, reconstruction (S/O)	180	0	180	0	158	0	22	0
Kings	Avenal	loc		Ventura St, 7th Av-3rd Av, reconstruction (S/O)	176	0.	176	0	155	0	21	0
Kings	Avenal	loc	8591	5th Av, Orange St-Rt 33, reconstruction (S/O)	99	0	99	0	87	0	12	0
Kings	Avenal	loc		Union Av, Kern St-Rt 269, reconstruction (S/O)	262 0		262	0	230	0	32	0
Kings	Avenal	loc		Fremont St, 7th St-Union, reconstruction (S/O)	139 0 139 0		139	0	122	0	17	0
Kings	Avenal	loc		Shasta St, 7th St-Union, reconstruction (SO)	139 0 143 0		139	0	122	0	17	0
Kings Kings	Avenal Avenal	loc		Whitney St, 7th St-Union, reconstruction (S/O) Dome St, Fresno St-Union, reconstruction (SO)	143 0 146 0		143 146	0	126 128	0	17 18	0
Kings	Avenal	loc		3rd Av, Rt 33-Rt 269, reconstruction (State only)	113		113	0	99	0	14	0
Kings	Avenal	loc		Orange St, Rt 33-7th Av, reconstruction (S/O)	166	0	166	0	146	0	20	0
Tulare	Visalia	loc		9 street segments, rehab	934	0	934	0	904	0	30	0
Tulare	Porterville	loc	8680	Henderson Av, Jaye-SJV rr xing, rehab	326	0	326	0	295	0	31	0
Tulare	Tulare County	loc		Rd 192, Av 200 overpass, rehab (State only)	1,027	0	1,027	0	1,027	0	0	0
Tulare	Tulare County	loc		6 overpasses of Rt 99, pave rehab (State only)	864	0	864	0	864	0	0	0
Madera	Chowchilla	loc	8821	Ventura Av, 3rd-9th, reconstruct (State only)	230	0	230	0	201	3	26	0

				I	1		- 11	Dr	ningt Tot	tala by C	omponer	n#
County	Agency	Rte	PPNO	Project	Total	04-05	05-06	R/W	Const	,	,	
								_				
Riverside	D Hot Springs	loc		Pierson BI, rehab pavement	627	0	627	0	627	0	0	C
Del Norte Santa Barbara	Crescent City Santa Barbara			Harding Av, Breen-Northcrest, rehab Local street rehab, 11 locations (State only)(04S-19)	150 1,541	0	150 1,541	0	150 1,541	0	0	
Humboldt	Arcata	loc		K/Alliance, Samoa-Foster, rehab	660	0	660	0	660	0	0	C
Mariposa	Mariposa Co	loc		Darrah Rd, Hwy 49 South-Triangle, rehab	415	0	415	0	415	0	0	C
Mariposa	Mariposa Co	loc		Don Pedro subdiv, multiple rds, rehab	210	0	210	0	200	0	10	C
Yolo	Davis	loc		Pavement rehab, phase 2	600	0	600	0	600	0	0	C
Lake	Clearlake	loc	3021P	Lakeshore, Pearl, Howard, Uhl, rehab (02S-43)	360	0	360	0	360	0	0	C
Lake	Lake County		 	South Main St, Lakeport-Rt 175 ext, rehab (04S-33)	45	0	45	0	0	45	0	C
Lake	Lake County	·	•	Soda Bay Rd, Rt 175 ext-Manning Crk, rehab (04S-	53	0	53	0	0	53	0	C
Mariposa	Mariposa Co	loc		Ben Hur Rd, PM 23.86-25.93, rehab	20	0	20	0	0	0		0
Mariposa	Mariposa Co	loc		Colorado Rd, PM 10.0-11.0, rehab	313	0	313	0	282	0	31	
Mariposa Mariposa	Mariposa Co Mariposa Co	loc loc	<u> </u>	East Westfall Rd, PM 10.0-11.0, rehab East Whitlock Rd, PM 19.0-20.27, rehab	343 15	0	343 15	0	326 0	0	17 15	C
Mariposa	Mariposa Co	loc	 	Hornitos Rd, PM 10.0-18.13, rehab	8	0	8	0	0			
Mariposa	Mariposa Co	loc	,	Old Highway, PM 23.94-24.88, rehab	15	0	15	0	0	0	15	
Mariposa	Mariposa Co	loc		Triangle Rd, PM 14.11-15.11, rehab	15	0	15	0	0	0	15	C
San Joaquin	Escalon	loc		So McHenry Av, Catherine-Jones, improve/rehab	1,400	0	1,400	0	1,400	0		C
San Joaquin	Lathrop	loc		Louise Av, Rt 5-5th, rehab/widening (State only)	846	0	846	0	846	0	0	C
San Joaquin	Lathrop	loc	 	Louise Av, 5th-east limits, rehab/widening (State only)	620	0	620	620	0	0	0	C
San Joaquin	Stockton			North Stockton pavement rehab (State only)	4,173	0	4,173	0	4,173	0	0	C
San Joaquin	Ripon	loc		Main St, Stockton St, rehab (State only)	1,102	0	1,102	0	1,102	0		C
San Joaquin	Lodi	loc		Stockton St, Kettleman-Century, rehab	741	0	741	0	741	0	0	
Yuba	Yuba County	loc		N Beale Rd, Shad-Griffith, pavement rehab	1,200	0	1,200	0	1,200	0	0	C
Yuba	Yuba County	loc		Arboga Rd, Erle-Broadway, rehab (State only)	300	0	300	0	275	0	25	0
Yuba	Yuba County	loc		Willow Glen Rd, Marysville-Frenchtown, rehab	40	0	40	0	0	0	40 20	C
Yuba Yuba	Marysville	loc		Huston St, E 19th, E 18th, rehab	20 16	0	20 16	0	0	0	16	C
Glenn	Marysville Glenn County	loc loc		Rideout Way, Hall-Covilaud, rehab Co Rd 27, Co Rd M-Co Rd P, rehab (State only)	38	0	38	0	0	18	20	
Glenn	Glenn County	loc		Co Rd 27, Rt 5-Co Rd M, rehab (State only)	29	0	29	0	0	11	18	C
Mendocino	Mendocino Co			Rail crossing rehab, 3 locations	255	0	255	0	255	0		C
Mendocino	Ukiah	<u> </u>	·	Rail crossing rehab, 4 locations	172	0	172	0	172	0	·	C
Mendocino	Ft Bragg			Franklin St, reconstruct (04S-30)	1,727	0	1,727	0	1,727	0		C
Mendocino	Willits	loc	4088P	Holly St, rehab, improvements (State only)	427	0	427	0	393	0	34	C
Mendocino	Ukiah	loc	4089P	RR crossing rehab, 4 locations (State only)	128	0	128	0	112	0	16	C
Mendocino	Pt Arena	loc	4096P	Local st rehab, improvements, various locs (S/O)	18	0	18	0	0	0	18	C
Mendocino	Mendocino Co	loc	4098P	Local road overlay, 6 locations (02S-65)	3,646	0	3,646	0	3,646	0	0	C
		ļ										
		ļ			70,372		70,372					
Local Projecto E	nhancements N	ot Dr	oaramn	and for TE								
Santa Barbara	S B County	loc		School zone signs, markings, near 53 schools (SO)	400	0	400	0	400	0	0	C
Santa Barbara	Santa Maria	loc		School zone signs, markings, near 19 schools (SO)	2	0	2	0	0		0	
Humboldt	Blue Lake	loc		Ped, bike, roadway improvements; downtown	35	0	35	0	0		31	C
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc	 	Myrtle Av, Harris, Harrison, Lucas, sidewalks (S/O)	28	0	28	0	0	28	0	C
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc	2098	Herrick Av, Elks Lodge-Bay Point, sidewalks (S/O)	41	0	41	0	0	15	26	C
Lassen	Lassen County	loc	2123	Skyline Rd Ext/South, bikeway	74	0	74	0	74	0	0	C
Tehama	Red Bluff	loc		Tehama Co Bikeways, Riverpark connection(02S-08B)	11	0	11	0	11	0	0	C
Mono	Mammoth Lakes	loc		Chateau Rd, Main St, rehab, sidewalks	1,256	0	1,256	0	1,256	0	0	
Ventura	Ventura	loc	1	Soundwall, E Main St-S Hill Rd (State only)	469	0	469	0	469	0	0	0
Ventura	Thousand Oaks		ļ	Soundwall, Lynn Rd-Wendy Dr (State only)	1,192	0	1,192	0	1,192	0	0	
Tulare Tulare	Visalia Visalia	loc loc		Emergency vehicle preemption, 34 intersections (04S-26) Rt 63 street tree landscaping (02S-35)	186 60	0	186 60	0	186 0	0 60	0	C
Humboldt	Humboldt Co	loc		Walnut Dr, Cypress-Avalon, bike lanes	551	0	551	0	551	0		
Humboldt	Eureka	loc		7th St bike lanes, J-Myrtle (ext 6-02)	370	0	370	0	370	0	0	C
Santa Barbara	Carpinteria	loc		Coast Route Bike Path improvements (04S-19)	614	0	614	0	614	0		C
Mendocino	Ft Bragg	·		Sidewalks, ramps, 318 curb cuts (State only, non-TE)	25	0	25	0	0	0		C
Mendocino	Ukiah			North State St curb ramps, 2 intersecs (State only)	18	0	18	0	18	0	0	C
San Bernardino	Needles	tea	 	El Garces station restoration	715	0	715	0	640	0	75	C
San Benito	San Juan Bautista	mat	938	CMAQ match, bike lane, SJBautista-Rt 101	30	0	30	0	30	0	0	C
		ļ										
	-	ļ			6,077		6,077					
Pagarias De-1	to Undoo!											
Reserves, Project			020	CMAO match reserve	30	0	20	0	30	0	0	
San Benito	San Benito COG SANDAG	mat		CMAQ match reserve RSTP/CMAQ match reserve	30 440	0	30 440	0	440	0	0	C
San Diego San Joaquin	Tracy	repl		AB 3090 replacement (Tracy multimodal station)	2,945	0	2,945	0	2,945	0	0	
Can ocaquiii	Пасу	iehi	20	no cooo replacement (Tracy multimodal station)	۷,540	U	۷,540	U	۷,540	U	U	
		 			3,415		3,415					
					2,110		.,					
TDM/Ridesharing	<u>.</u>											
	LACMTA	tdm	9003	Rideshare services	4,900	0	4,900	0	4,900	0		C
Los Angeles												
Riverside	RCTC	tdm		Rideshare program	400	0	400	0	400	0		C
		tdm tdm tdm	937	Rideshare program TDM/rideshare Rideshare TDM program	400 25 3,530	0 0 0	400 25 3,530	0 0 0	400 25 3,530	0 0 0	0	C C

								Pr	oject Tot	als by C	ompone	nt
County	Agency	Rte	PPNO	Project	Total	04-05	05-06	R/W	Const	E&P		Con Sup
San Joaquin	SJCOG	tdm	7950	TDM Ridesharing	167	0	167	0	167	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	SBCAG	tdm		Traffic solutions program maintenance (State only)	250	0	250	0	250	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	SBCAG	tdm	·	Traffic solutions program enhancement (State only)	17	0	17	0	17	0	0	0
Santa Cruz	SCCRTC	tdm	·	Rideshare Program	148	0	148	0	148	0	0	0
Santa Cruz	SCCRTC	tdm		Highway 1 Freeway Service Patrol	158	0	158	0	158	0	0	0
Ounta Oraz	0001110	tuiii	323	ingriway i i reeway dervice i attor	100		100		100			
					9,595		9,595					
Planning, Progra	amming, and Mo	nitori	na:									
Alameda	MTC		,	Planning, programming, and monitoring	110	0	110	0	110	0	0	0
Alameda	MTC/ACCMA	†		Planning, programming, and monitoring	110	0	110	0	110	0	0	0
Alp-Ama-Cal	Alpine LTC		·····	Planning, programming, and monitoring	30	0	30	0	30	0	0	0
Alp-Ama-Cal	Amador LTC	l		Planning, programming, and monitoring	80	0	80	0	80	0	0	0
Alp-Ama-Cal	Calaveras LTC			Planning, programming, and monitoring	85	0	85	0	85	0	0	0
Contra Costa	MTC			Planning, programming, and monitoring	71	0	71	0	71	0	0	0
El Dorado	EDCTC			Planning, programming, and monitoring	110	0	110	0	110	0	0	0
Fresno	COFCG			Planning, programming, and monitoring	114	0	114	0	114	0	0	0
Glenn	Glenn CTC			Planning, programming, and monitoring	22	0	22	0	22	0	0	0
Humboldt	Humboldt COG	 	 	Planning, programming, and monitoring	110	0	110	0	110	0	0	0
Inyo	Inyo LTC			Planning, programming, and monitoring	100	0	100	0	100	0	0	0
Kern	Kern COG	 -		Planning, programming, and monitoring	163	0	163	0	163	0	0	0
Kings	KCAG	 		Planning, programming, and monitoring	50	0	50	0	50	0	0	0
Los Angeles	LACMTA	 		Planning, programming, and monitoring	3,772	0	3,772	0	3,772	0	0	0
Madera	Madera CTC			Planning, programming, and monitoring	27	0	27	0	27	0	0	0
Marin	MTC			Planning, programming, and monitoring	17	0	17	0	17	0	0	0
Marin	Marin CMA	l		Planning, programming, and monitoring	24	0	24	0	24	0	0	0
Mariposa	Mariposa Co	 		Planning, programming, and monitoring	19	0	19	0	19	0	0	0
Mendocino	MCOG		·	Planning, programming, and monitoring	70	0	70	0	70	0	0	0
Merced	MCAG			Planning, programming, and monitoring	60	0	60	0	60	0	0	0
Modoc	Modoc CTC	 -		Planning, programming, and monitoring	54	0	54	0	54	0	0	0
Mono	Mono LTC	 -		Planning, programming, and monitoring	100	0	100	0	100	0	0	0
Monterey	TAMC			Planning, programming, and monitoring	400	0	400	0	400	0	0	0
Napa	MTC	 		Planning, programming, and monitoring	11	0	11	0	11	0	0	0
Nevada	Nevada CTC			Planning, programming, and monitoring	85	0	85	0	85	0	0	0
Orange	OCTA	 	 	Planning, programming, and monitoring	1,777	0	1,777	0	1,777	0	0	0
Placer TPA	Placer TPA	 		Planning, programming, and monitoring	75	0	75	0	75	0	0	0
Plumas	Plumas CTC			Planning, programming, and monitoring (04S-20)	36	0	36	0	36	0	0	0
Riverside	RCTC	 		Planning, programming, and monitoring (043-20)	953	0	953	0	953	0	0	0
Sacramento	SACOG			Planning, programming, and monitoring	143	0	143	0	143	0	0	0
San Bernardino	SANBAG			Planning, programming, and monitoring	570	0	570	0	570	0	0	0
San Diego	SANDAG			Planning, programming, and monitoring	334	0	334	0	334	0	0	0
San Francisco	MTC/SFCTA	 		Planning, programming, and monitoring	65	0	65	0	65	0	0	0
San Francisco	MTC	 		Planning, programming, and monitoring	47	0	47	0	47	0	0	0
San Joaquin	SJCOG	 		Planning, programming, and monitoring	75	0	75	0	75	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	SLO COG	-	 	Planning, programming, and monitoring	120	0	120	0	120	0	0	0
San Mateo	MTC	 	2140		49	0	49	0	49	0	0	0
San Mateo	SM C/CAG			Planning, programming, and monitoring	67	0	67	0	67	0	0	0
Santa Clara	MTC			Planning, programming, and monitoring	29	0	29	0	29	0	0	0
Santa Clara	MTC/SCVTA	ļ	2255		229	0	229	0	229	0	0	0
Santa Cruz	SCCRTC	 	[Planning, programming, and monitoring	77	0	77	0	77	0	0	0
Sierra	Sierra LTC	 		Planning, programming, and monitoring	21	0	21	0	21	0	0	0
Solano	MTC	 	ļ	Planning, programming, and monitoring	29	0	29	0	29	0	0	
		 -				0	38	0		0	0	0
Solano	MTC/STA			Planning, programming, and monitoring Planning, programming, and monitoring	38				38		0	
Sonoma Sonoma	MTC MTC/SCTA	 		Planning, programming, and monitoring Planning, programming, and monitoring	41 62	0	41 62	0	41 62	0	0	0
		 	ļ	4		0	50	0	····	0	0	0
Stanislaus	StanCOG SACOG	 		Planning, programming, and monitoring Planning, programming, and monitoring	50	0		0	50 13	0	0	0
Sutter	·	 	·	<u> </u>	13	0	13 152	0		0	0	
Tehama Tuolumne	Tehama LTC	 		Planning, programming, and monitoring	152	0			152 71	0	0	0
	Tuolumne CTC			Planning, programming, and monitoring	71	0	71	0	71	0	0	0
Ventura	VCTC	 		Planning, programming, and monitoring	295		295	0	295			
Yolo	SACOG			Planning, programming, and monitoring	27	0	27	0	27	0	0	0
Yuba	SACOG	<u> </u>	UL41	Planning, programming, and monitoring	10	0	10	0	10	0	0	0
	-				11,249		11,249					
							, -					
í		1							1			
					1,211,017	214	1,210,803	25,636	949,841	7,380	34,657	71.451

STIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Projects Programmed in 2005-06 (\$1,000's)

	1		1					- 1		Project	Totals i	ny Comr	onent	
County	Agency	Rte	PPNO	Project	Ext	Total	04-05	05-06	R/W					Con Sup
	L.													
Caltrans TE Proje			3261	Tree planting Davids 50		710	100	610	0	500	20	80	10	100
El Dorado Glenn	Caltrans Caltrans	50 32		Tree planting, Route 50 Realign roadway in Orland, enhancement elements		310	0	310	0		0	92	0	
Hum/Del Norte	Caltrans	te		Pacific Coast Bike Trail signs	 	80	18	62	0		12	32	3	
Imperial	Caltrans	te		Arizona Border Gateway native landscaping		745	143	602	50		10	78	5	
Inyo	Caltrans	395	456			400	36	364	0		1	34	1	35
Kern	Caltrans	58	3463			1,041	105	936	0		25	80	1	170
Marin	Caltrans	101		Golden Gate Botanical Management Area		300	90	210	0		20	50	20	40 10
Modoc Placer	Caltrans Caltrans	395 49		Alturas scenic beautification (04S-36) Landscaping planting, Route 49		1,000 172	30	1,000 142	0		6	25 24	0	
San Diego	Caltrans	te	899			1,672	225	1,447	0		45	180	9	
San Francisco	Caltrans	1	1067	Water quality enhancements, Route 1		650	75	575	0		0	70	5	
San Luis Obispo	Caltrans	101	1804			675	125	550	0		50	70	5	
Shasta	Caltrans	44	3194			230	0	230	0	230	0	0	0	0
Sonoma	Caltrans	101	789A	<u> </u>		2,344	0		1,000		0	0	6	
Tehama	Caltrans	5				40	0	40	0		0	0	0	
Tehama	Caltrans	5		Adobe interchange landscaping (ITIP)		350	20	330	0		0	20	0	
Tulare Various	Caltrans Caltrans	99 te		Tree planting, Route 99 Archaeological site inventory, District 6		698 1.877	63 15	635 1,862	0		0	63 15	0	119 0
Various	Caltrans	te		Archaeological site inventory, District 3		1,050	15	1,035	0		15	0	0	
Yolo	Caltrans	80		Tree planting, Route 80		710	100	610	0		20	80	10	100
		1		January President State Co.		- 11								
						15,054	1,160	13,894	1,050	11,626	224	964	84	1,106
	1				I									
Local TE Project		-	0110	Union City Intermedal City (1997 TF 1997 1997)		F 00=		F 00-		F 007				
Alameda Butte	Union City Butte County	te		Union City Intermodal Station, TE elements Skyway lookout point (04S-35)	-	5,307 151	0	5,307 151	0 151	5,307 0	0	0	0	
Butte	Oroville	te		Rt 70/Montgomery St beautification (04S-35)		358	0	358	151	358	0	0	0	
Butte	Paradise	te		Pearson Rd pedestrian improvement (04S-35)	\vdash	430	0	430	0		0	0	0	
Contra Costa	BART	te				450	0		0	416	0	34	0	0
Contra Costa	Lafayette	te	2025A	Pleasant Hill Rd, Mt Diablo-Condit, bike/ped imps(02S-23)		1,436	0	1,436	0		0	0	0	
Inyo	Inyo County	te	2518			350	0	350	8	342	0	0	0	
Lake	Lake County	te		Kelseyville walkways, lighting (ext 5-05)	Jun-06	6	6	0	0		0	6	0	
Lake	Lake County Burbank	te	3036B			66	0	66 102	0		30	36	0	
Los Angeles Los Angeles	Calabasas	te	3628 3147		Mar-06	102 559	559	0	0		0	0	0	
Los Angeles	Culver City	te	3153		Feb-06	60	60	0	0		0	60	0	
Los Angeles	LA County	te	3400		Dec-05	33	33	0	0		0	33	0	
Los Angeles	LA County	te	3400			236	0	236	0		0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	LA County	te	3401	Whittier Blvd revitalization		938	0	938	0		0	0	0	
Los Angeles	LA County	te	3403		Dec-05	1,245	1,245	0	0		0	0	0	
Los Angeles	LA County	te	3629			52	0	52	0		0	0	0	
Los Angeles Los Angeles	LA County Long Beach	te te	3630	Catch basin screens in Santa Monica (04S-27) PE R/W bikeway and ped improvements (ext 5-05)	Dec-05	147 106	0 106	147 0	0		0	106	0	
Los Angeles	Long Beach	te		PE R/W bikeway and ped improvements (ext 5-05)	Dec-03	1,200	0	1,200	1,200		0	0	0	
Los Angeles	Los Angeles	te		Rt 101 fwy crossing at N Main St, phase 2 (04S-27)		350	0	350	0		350	0	0	
Los Angeles	Los Angeles	te		Angels Walk, Wilshire Blvd (04S-27)		190	0	190	0		0	0	0	
Los Angeles	Los Angeles	te		Reuse of Watts station as museum (ext 5-05)	Jun-06	105	105	0	0		0	0	0	
Los Angeles	Los Angeles	te		Pacoima Town Center		399	0	399	0		0	0	0	
Los Angeles	Los Angeles	te	3181	Cultural Crescent Blue Line entrance, parking(04S-27)		303	0		0		0	0	0	
Los Angeles Los Angeles	Los Angeles	te	3446 3415		-	191 822	0	191 822	0		0	0	0	
Los Angeles	Monrovia Pasadena	te te	3424			102	0	102	0		0	102	0	
Los Angeles	Pasadena	te	3425			229	0	229	0		0	0	0	
Los Angeles	Pasadena	te	3448			8	0	8	0		0	0	0	
Los Angeles	Santa Monica	te	3449	Pedestrian extensions to Downtown transit mall(04S-27)		1,836	0	1,836	0	1,836	0	0	0	
Los Angeles	SGV COG	te	3434			297	0	297	0		0	0	0	
Los Angeles	Whittier	te	3633			99	0	99	0	0.4	0	0	0	0
Madera	Chowchilla	te		Robertson Blvd streetscape Gateway & UPRR, bike/ped undercrossing (ext 5-05)	lup 06	81 57	0 44	81 13	0 13	81	0	44	0	0
Madera Madera	Madera Madera	te te		Lake & "D" Street, bike/ped undercrossing (ext 5-0s	Juli-00	243	0	243	0		0	0	0	
Mendocino	Mendocino Co	te				91	0	91	30	0	25	36	0	
	Point Arena	te		Coastal access scenic bikeway (04S-30)		578	0	578	0		0	0	0	0
Mendocino	Willits	te		Train station rehab, east bldg		232	0	232	0	232	0	0	0	0
Monterey	ML Harbor Dist	te	1815	Moss Landing Coastal Trail		304	0		0		0	0	0	0
Plumas	Portola	te		Riverwalk pathway to Gulling St (04S-22)		30	0	30	0		30	0	0	
Sacramento	Citrus Heights	te		Auburn BI, Sylvan-Antelope, enhancements		1,110	0		1,110		0	0	0	
Sacramento Sacramento	Elk Grove R'cho Cordova	te te		Elk Grove Bl enhancement (ext 6-02) Mather Field Rd/Rt 50 landscaping (04S-29)		792 1,010	0	792 1,010	0		0	0	0	
Sacramento	Sac County	te		Watt Av, Rt 51-Rt 16, enhancements, phase 2	 	648	0		0		648	0	0	
Sacramento	Sac County	te				6,352	0	6,352	0		0	0	0	
Sacramento	Sac County	te	3L11			2,300	0		0		0	0	0	
Sacramento	Sacramento	te		Tower Bridge pedestrian improvements		3,500	0	3,500	0	3,500	0	0	0	0
San Francisco	San Francisco	te)	368	0	368	0		0	0	0	
San Francisco	San Francisco	te		Merchant Rd bike lane & enhancements (04S-25)		283	0		0		0	13	0	
San Francisco	San Francisco	te		Ferry terminal signage, enhancements (04S-25)		400	0	400	0		0	0	0	
San Francisco San Francisco	San Francisco San Francisco	te te		Rehabilitation of historic car #1 (04S-41) Pedestrian safety and access education (04S-41)		276 105	0	276 105	0		0	0 105	0	
San Francisco San Francisco	San Francisco	te			 	105	0	105	0		5	105	0	
	Morro Bay	te	973	V		549	0		0		0	0	0	
San Luis Obispo	Pismo Beach	te				420	0	420	0		0	0	0	
San Luis Obispo	San Luis Obispo		1122			325	0		101	0	0	224	0	
San Luis Obispo	SLO County	te		Bob Jones bike/ped pathway		100	0	100	0		0	100	0	0
	SLO County	te		Cambria Main St, enhancements (State only)		275	0		0		0	0	0	
Santa Barbara	Carpinteria	te	1191	Carpinteria Bluffs nature park bike path		25	0	25	0	0	0	25	0	. 0

STIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Projects Programmed in 2005-06 (\$1,000's)

										Project	t Totals b	y Comp	onent	
County	Agency	Rte	PPNO	Project	Ext	Total	04-05	05-06	R/W	Const		PS&E		Con S
Santa Barbara	Carpinteria	te	223E	Coast Route Bike Path improvements (04S-19)		388	0	388	0	388	0	0	0	<u> </u>
Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara	te	1193			596	0	596	0	531	0	65	0	
Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara	te	1195	Loma Alta Hill sidewalk		100	0	100	0	0	0	100	0	
Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara	te		Mission St, Modoc-Rt 101, bikeway		3	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	
Santa Clara	Santa Clara	te	2255C			2,000	0		0	2,000	0	0	0	l
Santa Cruz	Santa Cruz Co	te	1545			195	0	195	0	195	0	0	0	
Santa Cruz	Santa Cruz Co	te		Wilder Ranch bikeway, Wilder Ranch SP-Laguna Ro	1	95	0	95	0	0	0	95	0	
Shasta	Shasta County	te		Old Oregon Trail Corridor bike lanes		430	0		310	0	0	120	0	-
Sierra	Sierra County	te		Loganville Scenic Byway Visitor Center		100	0		0	100	0	0	0	·
Sierra	Sierra County	te		Downieville mountain bike and hiker trailhead		50	0		0	50	0	0	0	
Sierra	Sierra County	te	3117	Sierra Valley Vistor Centers, Sierraville, Calpine	Dec-06	5	5		0		0	5	0	
Sierra	Sierra County	te	3117	Sierra Valley Vistor Centers, Calpine (04S-46)	DC0 00	40	0		0	40	0	0	0	
Siskiyou	CIIC	te		Collier interpretitive and information center (04S-37)		58	0		0	34	0	24	0	
Siskiyou	Siskiyou Co	te	2405	Lake Siskiyou Trail (04S-05)		60	0		0	0	0	60	0	
Tehama	Corning	te	2401	Corning downtown streetscape (04S-34)		649	0		0	619	0	30	0	
Tehama	Red Bluff	te	2402	Walnut Street beautification (04S-34)		349	0		0	325	0	24	0	
Tehama	Red Bluff	te	2402			24	0		0	0	0	24	0	
Tulare	Exeter	te		Exeter downtwon improvements (04S-15)		50	0		0	0	0	50	0	
Tulare	Lindsay	te		Downtown pedestrian improvements (04S-15)		195	0		0	0	0	195	0	ļ
Tulare	Porterville	te		Porterville bicycle storage facility		39	0	39	0	39	0	195	0	
Tulare	Tulare	te		Historic 99 pedestrian improvements (04S-15)		75	0		0	75	0	0	0	
									0			0		ļ
Tulare	Tulare	te		Tulare downtown improvements, phase 1 (04S-15)		200	0		0	200	0		0	
Tulare	Tulare County	te		Ivanhoe Main St pedestrian improvements (04S-15)		6				0	0	6	0	ļ
Tulare	Visalia	te	D013	Packwood Creek bicycle path (04S-15)		461	0	461	461	0	0	0	0	ļ
														ļ
						44,790	2,163	42,627	3,384	38,593	1,088	1,725		
Programmed TE														<u> </u>
Colusa	Colusa LTC	res		TE reserve		267	0		0	267	0	0	0	
El Dorado	EDCTC	res		TE reserve		649	0		0	649	0	0	0	
Fresno	COFCG	res	B002	TE reserve		1,276	0	.,,_,	0	.,	0	0	0	L
Humboldt	Humboldt COG	res	302			357	0	357	0	357	0	0	0	L
Inyo	Inyo LTC	res		TE reserve		560	0		0	560	0	0	0	
Kern	Kern COG	res		TE reserve		1,670	0		0	1,670	0	0	0	
Kings	KCAG	res		TE reserve		719	0	719	0	719	0	0	0	
Lake	Lake CCAPC	res	3036	TE reserve		2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	
_assen	Lassen LTC	res	2395	TE reserve		227	0	227	0	227	0	0	0	
Marin	MTC	res	2127B	TE reserve		1,005	0	1,005	0	1,005	0	0	0	
Orange	OCTA	res	2134	TE reserve		7,085	0	7,085	0	7,085	0	0	0	
San Benito	San Benito COG	res	1830	TE reserve		342	0	342	0	342	0	0	0	
San Bernardino	SANBAG	res	1111	TE reserve		9,138	0	9,138	0	9,138	0	0	0	l
San Diego	SANDAG	res	7421	TE reserve (04S-28)		2,493	0	2,493	0	2,493	0	0	0	
Solano	MTC	res	5152A	TE reserve		1,629	0	1,629	0	1,629	0	0	0	l
Stanislaus	StanCOG	res		TE reserve		924	0		0	924	0	0	0	
Tulare	Tulare CAG	res		TE reserve (04S-15)		221	0		0	221	0	0	0	
Tuolumne	Tuolumne CTC	res	20			297	0		0	297	0	0	0	
/entura	VCTC	res	3565			1,700	0		0	1,700	0	0	0	
	1.2.0		0000			.,. 50		.,. 50		.,. 50				
						30,561		30,561		30,561				
	1					,,		35,551		,		+		
				·										
	1			TOTAL TE PROGRAMMED		90,405	3.323	87,082	4,434	80,780	1,312	2,689	84	1,
	1				1	55, .50	0,020	J.,	.,	30,. 30	.,0.2	_,000	J-1	Ļ.,

TCRP Benefits Survey

Survey Evaluation Criteria:

Timing and Methodology of the Survey

In early 2004, the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency (BTH), working with the California Department of Transportation (Department) and Regional Transportation Planning Agencies (RTPAs), conducted a survey to assess the benefits derived from TCRP projects. The intent was to identify TCRP projects that produce the greatest benefit for defined benefit categories. Applying a summation ranking, using a uniform or non-uniform weighting for each subject area, allows the projects to be rated for overall benefits or to be sorted to evaluate benefits under different scenarios and delivery criteria. For example, projects ready for construction can be evaluated separately from projects not ready for construction. Additional sorts and rankings can be made by transportation mode, geographic area or other criteria.

Each response was reviewed to evaluate <u>quality of response</u> (address relationship of response to survey subject area, determine if the response contained questionable or obviously inaccurate information, or determine if the response lacks known information), and <u>content of the response</u> relative to the subject area. Where the quality and / or content of the response was lacking, the Department considered additional known information for each project. Additional information available includes, but is not limited to, Benefit / Cost ratios where known; the level of congestion for a facility and associated vehicle hours of congestion; relationship of the project to interregional or interstate goods movement, and the location of the project with respect to known economically depressed or high unemployment areas.

Evaluation Criteria

Following are the principal criteria for which all projects were evaluated. Evaluations were assigned a high, medium or low rating **for each survey subject area** based upon the applicant's response. There was a significant range in the completeness and exactness of responses. Responses were evaluated on the information provided and other known information

The categories of 1) funding and deliverability, 2) economic development, 3) regional conformity, 4) goods movement, and 5) relative funding from Federal or local sources, were evaluated. Additional consideration was granted for various responses in the "other benefits" category. This information was used in overall qualitative and impact considerations for the projects and Program.

• Funding and Deliverability

To what extent can the project be completed based on existing funding plans. The responses were evaluated and were given a general ranking based on the ability of the agency to identify a completion plan, and the relative risk associated with the plan. Projects that can be completed near-term were generally rated higher than projects that are likely to be completed in later years.

Fully Funded (separate sort)

 Projects completed or in construction that can be completed with the existing allocated funds (TCR funds and other funds) are not included in the survey analysis.

High

 Projects that can be completed with a new allocation of TCR funds for construction / procurement. Non-TCRP funds needed for initiation of construction activities are either secured or there is a reasonable expectation that funds will be available. Project is delivered or on track for delivery in FY 2005-06.

Medium

- Projects with large committed investment of local funds that will likely result in full funding from the 2006 STIP or identifiable sources on a similar timeline.
- Projects that are fully funded with construction start in FY 2006-07 or beyond.

Low

- o Projects that have a funding strategy that is not reliable requiring multiple voter initiatives, multiple STIP cycles or similar long-term strategies.
- o Projects that lack an identified funding strategy.

• Economic Development

To what extent the project encourages new business development or location to create jobs and incomes. {High – identified commitments to new business development, Medium – local planning actions to encourage business development, Low – non-response or no identifiable connection.}

• Regional Conformity

Is the project a Transportation Control Measure, or if not, will regional conformity fail without emission reductions from the project?

{High – yes to either portion of question, Medium – project provides air quality benefits overall in non-attainment area, Low – non-response or area is in attainment and no impacts.}

• Effects on Goods Movement

Does the project do any of the following: 1) remove or mitigate a "choke point", 2) provide an alternative travel corridor around a choke point, and/or access to other routes or developments, 3) improve access and/or remove restrictions to truck/rail movements (ex. grade separation), 4) improve access to a major freight facility (intermodal terminal, seaport, airport, major truck terminal or complex), 5) improve operations and safety (ex. separates trucks from other traffic, improves ingress/egress, reduces need for lane changes or turning movements), and 6) rehabilitate a substandard highway, roadway, or rail corridor design (ex. sub-standard geometrics that cannot handle STAA trucks).

{High – direct and documented linkage to one or more criteria, Medium – project has non-documented but indirect known goods movement benefits, Low – non-response or no goods movement impact (typically no or few STAA size trucks).}

• Leveraged STIP or Federal Funds

To what extent does the project leverage other State or Federal funding. Projects that require an allocation of TCRP funds to complete allocated STIP projects or to match 2005-06 STIP allocations are given the highest ranking. Additional ranking is given based on the percentage of Federal or local funding to the total funding plan.

{High – significant Federal or local funding as a percent of overall funding plan, Medium – projects with portions funded from Federal or local sources, Low – projects whose funding is all or mostly TCRP funds only.}

• Other Benefits – consideration given based on following:

- T4ED Transportation for Economic Development (California Department of Transportation – June 2003). Relationship of transportation investments to state's worst pockets of poverty and joblessness. Transportation investments play a role in each area's own efforts to create jobs and relieve economic hardships.
- o State highway system Focus Routes (to improve interregional travel).
- o Enhances environmental justice.
- Significant focus on Safety eg, grade separations, pedestrian crossings or other safety measures, safe routes to schools, etc.
- Examples of "stellar" multiple and "cross over" benefits in one project. Project has qualitative sense of a "complete project where land use, housing, mobility, smart growth, environmental justice, commercial /jobs" all come together.

	TABLE A	A: Perfo	ormano	e Indicators, Measures and	Definitions
Indicator	Relation to Section 19			Performance Measures	Definition/Indication
	Performance Criteria	Mode	Level*	Measures	
	2			Fatalities /Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)	Indicates the ratio of the number of fatalities to the number of vehicle miles traveled.
	2	Roadway	Region	Fatal Collisions / VMT	Indicates the ratio of the number of fatal collisions to the number of vehicle miles traveled.
Safety	2			Injury Collisions / VMT	Indicates the ratio of the number of injury collisions to the number of vehicle miles traveled.
	2	Transit	Mode	Fatalities / Passenger Miles	Indicates the ratio of the number of fatalities to the number of passenger miles traveled.
	1			Passenger Hours of Delay / Year	Indicates the total amount of delay per traveler that exists on a designated area over a selected amount of time.
Mobility	1	Roadway	Region	Average Peak Period Travel Time	Indicates the average travel time for peak period trips taken on regionally significant corridors and between regionally significant origin and destination pairs.
	1			Average Non-Peak Period Travel Time	Indicates the average travel time for non-peak period trips taken on regionally significant corridors and between regionally significant origin and destination pairs.
Accessibility	4 (also 1,3,6,7)	Transit	Region	Percentage of population within 1/4 mile of a rail station or bus route.	Indicates the accessibility of transit service.
	1	Roadway	Corridor	Travel Time Variability	Indicates the difference between expected travel time and actual travel time.
Reliability	ity 5		Mode	Percentage of vehicles that arrive at their designated destination no more than 5 minutes late.	These measures indicate the ability of transit service operators to meet customers' reliability
	5			Percentage of vehicles that leave early for their next designated destination.	expectations.

^{*}Level:

Corridor - Routes or route segments that are identified by regions and Caltrans as being significant to the transportation system.

Region - Region or county commission that is responsible for RTIP submittal.

Mode - One of the following transit types (light rail, heavy rail, commuter rail, trolley bus, and all forms of bus transit).

	TABLE A	A: Perf	ormano	e Indicators, Measures and	Definitions
Indicator	Relation to Section 19	NA1-		Performance Measures	Definition/Indication
	Performance Criteria	Mode	Level*	Measures	
	7	Roadway -	Corridor	Average Peak Period Vehicle Trips	Indicates the utilization of the transportation
	7	Vehicles	Comaci	Average Daily Vehicle Trips	system by all vehicles.
	7	Roadway -	Corridor	Average Peak Period Vehicle Trips Multiplied by the Occupancy Rate	Indicates the utilization of the transportation
	7	People	Comuoi	Average Daily Vehicle Trips Multiplied by the Occupancy Rate	system by people.
Productivity (Throughput)	7	Trucks	Corridor	Percentage of Average Daily Vehicle Trips that are Trucks	Indicates the utilization of the transportation
	7	TTUCKS	Comaoi	Average Daily Vehicle Trips that are Trucks	system by trucks.
	7			Passengers per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Indicates the effectiveness of mass transportation
	7	Transit	Mode	Passengers per Vehicle Revenue Mile	system operations by measuring the number of passengers carried for every mile of revenue
	7			Passenger Mile per Train Mile (Intercity Rail)	service provided.
				Total number of Distressed Lane Miles	Indicates the number of lane miles in poor structural condition or with bad ride (pavement
System Preservation	3	Roadway	Region	Percentage of Distressed Lane Miles	condition).
				Percentage of Roadway at Given IRI Levels	Indicates roadway smoothness.
Return on Investment/ Lifecycle Cost	1-7				Return on Investment indicates the ratio of resources available to assets utilized. Lifecycle Cost Analysis is Benefit-Cost Analysis that incorporates the time value of money.

^{*}Level:

Corridor - Routes or route segments that are identified by regions and Caltrans as being significant to the transportation system.

Region - Region or county commission that is responsible for RTIP submittal.

Mode - One of the following transit types (light rail, heavy rail, commuter rail, trolley bus, and all forms of bus transit).

PROPOSED CHANGES TO SECTION 19 OF THE COMMISSION'S ADOPTED STIP GUIDELINES

19. Criteria for Measuring Performance and Cost-Effectiveness. In order to maximize the state's investments in transportation infrastructure, it is the Commission's policy that each RTIP and the ITIP will be evaluated, as they are developed, for performance and cost-effectiveness at the system or and project level as where appropriate. For large new projects that propose applying over 25% of a county's available share identified in the fund estimate or are over \$50 million dollars and all joint RTIP projects that propose ITIP funding, -for which major investment studies are undertaken, a project level evaluation is preferable. The evaluation should be done conducted by each region and by Caltrans before the RTIPs and the ITIP are submitted to the Commission for incorporation into the STIP. Beginning with the 2002 STIP cycle, each RTIP and the ITIP submitted to the Commission will be accompanied by a report on its performance and costeffectiveness. Ideally, as performance measurement concepts and techniques mature, Regional agencies and Caltrans will, as part of the transportation planning and programming process, monitor transportation systems and projects for performance and refine provide performance forecasts for use in evaluation of the current and future RTIPs and ITIPs. As performance measurement concepts and techniques continue to mature, updated guidance may be provided in future STIP guidelines.

The Commission will consider the evaluations submitted by regions when making decisions on RTIPs as described in Section 60 of these guidelines. The Commission will consider evaluation submitted by Caltrans when making decisions on the ITIP as described in Section 62 of these guidelines.

The evaluation report should clearly demonstrate how effective the RTIP or the ITIP is in addressing or achieving the goals, objectives and standards which are established as part of the respective regional transportation plan (RTP) or Caltrans' Interregional Transportation Strategic Plan (ITSP). The purpose of the evaluation report is to assess the performance and cost effectiveness of each RTIP and the ITIP based on its own merits, not to attempt a comparative assessment between individual RTIPs or RTIPs and the ITIP. RTIP evaluations should also address how the RTIP relates to the ITSP at key points of interregional system connectivity. Caltrans' evaluation of the ITIP should address ITIP consistency with the RTPs. Each region is responsible for establishing the transportation goals, and objectives and standards to be used in its evaluation of its RTIP performance. However, the Commission urges each region should to consider including improvements to mobility, accessibility, reliability, safety, and productivity (throughput) and sustainability and safety as part of the fundamental performance goals of any its long-range transportation plan and its RTIP submittal.

Regions and Caltrans are responsible for determining the techniques and methodology to be used in evaluating the performance and cost-effectiveness of

RTIPs and the ITIP developing goals, objectives and priorities based on system performance. The Commission recognizes that many measures of performance and benefit are difficult to evaluate and may be more subjective rather than measurable in quantifiable units. In order to facilitate statewide consistency, regions and Caltrans, should also consider using (when appropriate) values of performance and benefits and evaluation methodologies which are commonly accepted and which represent accepted or standard practice. The Commission encourages regions to consider using (when appropriate) values of time, safety, vehicle operation costs and discount rates which are developed by Caltrans for benefit cost analysis of transportation projects.

The Commission does expect that evaluations of performance and costeffectiveness will be for a 20-year period or on a life cycle basis. Reports to the Commission on evaluations of performance and cost effectiveness should be presented in a format which is disaggregated to the level of the benefits and measures used.

In establishing the following criteria the Commission recognizes that it is may be difficult to develop and utilize criteria that is relevant in both urban and non-urban regions or relevant at both a statewide and regional level. Different criteria may apply depending on the complexity of a region and its RTP/RTIP or the functionality of an interregional route. To this end, the each regions should select and utilize criteria most applicable to its own jurisdiction and Caltrans should use the criteria provided below, and are encouraged to highlight other criteria that are essential for the purposes of program development and project selection. Where applicable, the performance measures listed in [Table/Appendix?] should be used to quantitatively evaluate the criteria below. Results of analysis will not only be used to forecast the impact on the transportation system of projects contained in the RTIPs and the ITIP, but also indicate current system performance, thereby establishing a baseline from which future performance trends may be observed.

Regions and Caltrans should **use consider** the following criteria for measuring performance of RTIPs and the ITIP:

- 1. Change in vehicle occupant, freight and goods travel time or delay.
- 2. Change in accidents and fatalities.
- 3. Change in vehicle and system operating costs.
- 4. Change in access to jobs, markets and commerce.
- 5. Change in frequency and reliability of rail/transit service.
- 6. Change in air pollution emissions.
- 7. Change in passenger, freight and goods miles carried.

Regions and Caltrans should consider the following criteria for measuring cost-effectiveness of RTIPs and the ITIP:

- 1. Decrease in vehicle occupant travel, freight and goods time per thousand dollar invested.
- 2. Decrease in accidents and fatalities per thousand dollar invested.
- 3. Decrease in vehicle and system operating cost per thousand dollar invested.
- 4. Improved access to jobs, markets and commerce per thousand dollar invested.
- 5. Increased frequency reliability of rail/transit service per thousand dollar invested.
- 6. Decrease in air pollution emissions per thousand dollar invested.
- 7. Increase in annual passenger, freight and goods miles carried per thousand dollar invested.